

On a connection between gravitation and quantum chromodynamics.

J. A. Martins Simões,^{*}

Instituto de Física,

Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, RJ, Brazil

February 2, 2008

Abstract

In this paper we point out a remarkable numerical relation between the large scale parameters of gravitation G_N and H_0 and the two fundamental parameters of quantum cromodynamics α_{QCD} and Λ_{QCD} . With this remark we make the conjecture that Newton's gravitational constant could show an energy dependence analogous to α_{QCD} .

PACS:04.20.Ha, 04.90.+e

^{*}E-mail: simoes@if.ufrj.br

In 1938 Dirac found a numerical relation between the large scale parameters of gravitation, Newton's gravitational constant and Hubble's constant, G_N and H_0 respectively, and some of the small scale parameters of elementary particles, the Planck constant, the proton mass and the electron charge. This relation is given by

$$\frac{\frac{G_N}{H_0}}{\frac{h^3 \epsilon_0}{m_p^3 e^2}} = 1.3 \quad (1)$$

and is numerically satisfied at a high degree of precision.

The cosmology that followed this relation suggested that the gravitational parameter G_N had a time dependence. As no such effect was experimentally found [1], this connection between large and small scale parameters was abandoned.

However, Dirac's original argument has a physical point that deserves more attention. Any connection between parameters at different scales is an indication of a deeper physical relation of some fundamental principles. So we have taken Dirac's program from a more modern point of view.

Our first modification in Dirac's argument is that the proton mass is no longer a fundamental mass scale. According to the standard model of elementary particles, the fundamental mass scales are the vacuum symmetry breaking parameter v_{Fermi} and the QCD scale Λ_{QCD} . The second modification is that as G_N is a coupling constant, it must be proportional to g_x^2 , where "x" means some of the standard model couplings, and not to the inverse power of the electron charge, as in Eq. 1.

If we now add Planck's constant and the speed of light, then a pure dimensional analysis leads us to the equation

$$\frac{G_N}{H_0} = \frac{h^2}{c} \frac{g_{QCD}^2}{\Lambda_{QCD}^3} \quad (2)$$

Using the last 2004 Particle Data Group [2] numerical values for physical constants and $h = 0.71$ in Hubble's constant, $\alpha_{QCD} = 0.12$ and $\Lambda_{QCD} = 220 MeV/c^2$, we have the result that the two terms differ by a factor 0.8, which is very close to one. The large difference on the scales of physical phenomena involved in this new version of Dirac's relation strongly suggests some fundamental connection between gravitation and QCD. Any other numerical relation between the standard model couplings and fundamental mass scales will not satisfy relations like Eq. 2. The consequences of this equation can be pursued in at least three ways.

There is an experimental evidence [3] that the electromagnetic fine-structure constant has a small variation from the value it had some billions of years

ago. After this result, Calmet and Fritzsche [4] proposed that the QCD parameters could also have changed during this cosmic time scale. Our Eq. 2 predicts that any time variation in the QCD parameters will imply time variations in the cosmological parameters and vice-versa.

The other important consequence of Eq. 2 concerns the energy dependence of G_N and α_{QCD} . In the QCD case, the behavior of $\alpha_{QCD}(Q^2)$ is well known and leads to asymptotic freedom. A Q^2 dependence of G_N is not a surprise in field theory. However, the non-renormalization of gravitation prevents an analysis similar to the QCD case. In more recent years the effective field theory method has shown [5] interesting results in calculating corrections for some cases of gravitational interactions. For example, the leading long distance quantum corrections of a system of two interacting charged masses can be computed. But the general small distance behavior of G_N is not known. If we admit that the connection given by Eq. 2 is to be valid in some energy range and that the energy dependence is only in the coupling terms, then we would have the relation

$$G_N(Q^2) \propto \alpha_{QCD}(Q^2) \quad (3)$$

This relation must be viewed as a conjecture following from Eq. 2 and not as a demonstration. We call it the "Leme conjecture" [6]. We expect that this conjecture holds in the energy range of validity of Eq. 2 and that it will imply in logarithm corrections to gravitational phenomena at different energy scales. But as the formal proof of this conjecture is still lacking, we can't fully justify its extension to the asymptotic small distance region.

Finally, the main question that Eq. 2 raises is on the possible physical scenario from which one could naturally derive this relation. The answer to this question could clarify the small and large scale behavior of gravitation and QCD. In particular, if our conjecture is confirmed, it would mean that the hadrons and the universe are related systems.

Acknowledgments: We thanks T.J. Martins Simões for suggestions concerning reference 5. This work was partially supported by the following Brazilian agencies: CNPq and FAPERJ.

References

- [1] M.V. Berry, Principles of Cosmology and Gravitation, Cambridge University Press.

- [2] Particle Data Group, Phys. Lett. B **592** 1 (2004)
- [3] J.K. Webb et al., Phys.Rev. Lett. **87** 091301 (2001)
- [4] H. Fritzsch hep-ph/0411391; X. Calmet and H. Fritzsch Eur.Phys. J. C **24** 639 (2002)
- [5] N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, J. F. Donoghue and B. R. Holstein, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 084033 (2003); B. R. Holstein and J. F. Donoghue, Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 201602 (2004); N. E. J. Bjerrum-Bohr, hep-th/0410097.
- [6] The name is after a famous beach in Rio, where the author lives.